Prescribed Butcherknife-Slate Ignition Unit Fuels Reduction (FR) and Timber Sale District: WRRD Fire Name: (BKS) Name: (TS) Underburns

PRESCRIBED FIRE PLAN

RANGER DISTRICT

PREPARED BY: *

Name (print)

TECHNICAL REVIEW BY:

Name (print)

Signature

FIRE MANAGEMENT OFFICER:

Signature

Name (print)

Name (print)

Signature

Signature

WILD RIVERS RD

PRESCRIBED FIRE NAME / PRESCRIBED FIRE UNIT

BKS - FR & TS

Andrew Gallego

Shelly Steiner

Monty Edwards

Dave Palmer


Denotes required signatures

COMPLEXITY RATING

BOSS QUALIFICATION

APPROVED BY (AGENCY ADMINISTRATOR): *

MINIMUM BURN



Title

Date

| İ | Prescribed | Butcherknife-Slate | Ignition Unit | Fuels Reduction (FR) and Timber Sale | District: | WRRD |
|---|------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1 | Fire Name | | Name: | (TS) Underburns | | |

PMS 486 (11/13)


Element 3 – Complexity Analysis Summary



NWCG Prescribed Fire Summary and Final Complexity Worksheet, PMS 424-1
This worksheet is supplemental to the Prescribed Fire Complexity Rating System Guide, PMS 424. It is designed to enable effective risk management. The Interagency Prescribed Fire Planning and Inglementation Procedures Guide, PMS 484, provides further explanation This becomes Element 3 of the Prescribed Fire Plan.

| Butcl | nerknife Slate(BKS) Underburn | Quantity | Significance |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | On-Site | Rem | Mod |
| Values | Off-Site | Atuitiple | THE . |
| | Public/Political Interest | Faw | - 100 |

| Element | Preliminary Risk | Post-Plan Risk | Tectorical Difficulty | Calculated Rating |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Safety | Al- | 44 | - Mary | J. 1,044 |
| Fire Uchavior | Line | - 54 | - | Min |
| Resistance to Containment | N-a | - | - | 98 |
| ignition Procedures and Methods | 1 | | 466 | No. |
| Prescribed Fire Duration | - | - 100 | - | Mari |
| Smoke Management | 10.00 | 1 | 360 | Arriva |
| Number and Dependence of Activities | 15.0 | | 19/4 | Array |
| Management Organization | Total Control | 1/200 | The later | 1910 |
| Treatment/Resource Objectives | Albani | 1 | - | 10 |
| Constraints | NAME OF THE PARTY | le lyed | 100 | W6 |
| Project togistics | Addition | Garage Control | - | 1100 |



| nal Complexity Determination | Final Complexity Determination Rationale |
|------------------------------|---|
| | These units will be burned in the Spring, Winter or Fall if conditions are favorable to insert all burn- plan objectives. The sites will be ignited when favorable conditions will be present for the duration of heal being present. (ffects of snoke will be intigated through monitoring of snoke and wind directions in and before the units are burned, liming and speed of ignition, and assurance of favorable weather conditions, implementation of this plan requires a moderate amount of qualified personnel and contribution, No unusual safety considerations are expected during implementation. A Jub Hazard Analysis is expected to manage risk at an acceptable level. |
| Mod | |
| | |
| | The Burna Plan Preparer's Name 1994 Books # x ML 1994 Date 5/4/10/15 |
| bigostures | Agreey Agreement states Matt William S/A/2018 |