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## Introduction

The Uncles Complex consists of two individual fires that were ignited in late July:

1) The Uncles Fire, on the Scott-Salmon River District (2,600 acres), and
2) The Hancock Fire ( 8,000 acres), on the Unkonum District.

Both fires are located in the Marble Mountain Wilderness. In general, the fire activity and daily growth has been low to moderate.

The Uncles Fire is approximately 2,600 acres in a combination of timber dominated fuel models over $85 \%$ of the fire area and shrub dominated fuel models over the remainder (see fuel model map in section below). There are extremely heavy dead and down fuel loads in areas that have not recently burned. The fire is in very steep terrain on all aspects, at between 3600' and 6900' elevation. The Specimen Fire (1994) burned east of the fire, in addition to several historical fires in the wilderness, including the Hog Fire (1977) and the Yellow Fire (1987) southwest of the Uncles Fire. The Uncle Fire has been backing, flanking, rolling out, and making short uphill runs, with occasional torching.

The Hancock Fire is approximately 8,000 acres and continues to burn actively in a combination of timber dominated fuel models over $86 \%$ of the fire area and shrub dominated fuel models over the remainder. There are extremely heavy dead and down fuel loads within the Hancock Fire area as a result of historic burns occurring in the 1950's and 1980's (see fire history map). The Hancock fire is also in very steep terrain on all aspects, at between 2000' and 5600' elevation. Nearly the entire fire area lies within the Yellow Fire (1987) perimeter.

Fuels and vegetation structure in the vicinity of the Uncles Fire Complex is largely the result of past fire history. In the drainage bottoms and below 3,000 feet, tanoak, manzanita, and madrone are the primary understory species with an overstory of oldgrowth conifer or regeneration dominated by Douglas-fir, red fir, sugar pine or incense cedar. Fuel Models 9, TL8 or TL9 have proven to be a good representation of the fire behavior (rates of spread, flame lengths and scorch height) observed in these stands which comprise 25 percent of the fire area. On the upper slopes, large stands of pure
conifer are comprised of Douglas-fir, red fir and sugar pine. These stands cover 40 percent of the fire area and have a heavy surface fuel loading, best represented by Fuel Models 10, TL7 or TU5. Additionally, 20 percent of the area is represented by timbered stands with light conifer litter best modeled with Fuel Models 8, TL2 and TL3. There are also intermittent patches of shrubs, $\sim 10$ percent of the fire area, in the upper elevation bowls, in old fire areas, and along some ridgelines. The patches of the shrub fuels are best modeled by Fuel Models 5, SH4, SH6, and TL7 (old burn scars with a heavy dead and down component).


Fire growth projections and probability of fire movement were derived from the Rare Event Risk Assessment Process (RERAP), Fire Spread Probability (FSpro), and Fire Area Simulator (FARSITE) models that consider:
$>$ Vegetation characteristics
$>$ Fire History, including historic burn patterns and severity
$>$ Fuel loading
> Slope
$>$ Aspect
$>$ Observed fire behavior and weather 7/28 through 8/28
> Historical weather observations
(Sawyers Bar: 1971-2005 and Blue Ridge: 1999-2005)
> Predicted weather
> Distance to points/areas of concern
> Observed daily growth

Weather, fuels, large fire history, and long-range forecasts for the Northern California region, as well as local expertise were used in this assessment. The Rare Event Risk Assessment Process (RERAP) and Fire Family Plus were used to determine the probability of fire movement to the identified Trigger Points (TPs), Trigger Areas, Points of Concern, and the Management Confinement Area (MCA). Weather data from the Sawyers Bar RAWS (Station \#040222) were used to assess historical trends. Other products were assessed to determine season severity and potential for large fire growth. Fire progression was monitored and progression maps were produced based on aerial recon and daily observed growth.

This document presents a summary of long-term fire behavior predictions and a risk assessment to be used to support fire management and operational decisions. This information supplements the Uncles Fire Complex Long-Range Implementation Plan with technical documentation of the analysis results. The information and results from this assessment are intended to be used in the continued management of the Uncles and Hancock fires under a confinement AMR.

## Long-Term Weather Trends/Season Severity

## Palmer Drought Index

The Palmer Drought Index indicates moderate drought conditions for this time of year. However, this region did receive an abnormal amount of rain since October 2005 and is currently approximately $150 \%$ of normal for the water year. Despite the unusual precipitation amount over the winter months, the region is experiencing hot and dry conditions that are rapidly curing the live fuels.


## Precipitation

The snow pack in the area of the Uncles and Hancock Fires was over 175\% of normal by April and lingered long into spring. Since May, the weather patterns have been dominated by high pressure much more frequently, resulting in much drier and generally warm conditions over the fire area, including 10 consecutive days of >100 degree temperatures in July.

Since July $28^{\text {th }}$, the fire area has received $40 \%$ to $60 \%$ of the normal precipitation amounts as shown in the below graphs.


Precipitation percent of normal for the Western United States since October 2005. This figure shows that the fire area is approximately 140 to $\mathbf{1 6 0 \%}$ of normal.


Precipitation percent of normal for the month of August. This graph shows the fire area as 40 to $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ of the normal precipitation.

## Greeness Mapping

The greenness index portrays how green vegetation is compared to its average greenness for the current week of the year based on all years of data since and including 1989. When assessing this image, we considered the time within the season when the image was taken and estimating how much more curing will occur until the season ending event. The area around the Uncles Complex of fires shows to be either average or a little above average compared to the last 17 years during the week of August 16 to 22.


## Energy Release Component

Energy Release Component (ERC) is a National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS) index related to how hot a fire could burn. It is derived from daily weather records and is associated with the worst case 24-hour potential energy at the flaming front of a given fire. As the fuels dry through the season and become available to burn, adding to the potential energy, the ERC rises.

The ERC was rising steadily beginning in mid-June, dropped significantly in early August when the area received 0.44 inches of precipitation, and has been climbing since. The data through August 24th indicate the ERC is approaching the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and is predicted to continue climbing as conditions dry into the fall. Historically, the ERC peaks in mid-August but can stay high through late October. The current upward trend and the long-term weather outlook suggest the 2006 season will be higher than average through the end of September.


## Burning Index

Burning Index (BI) is a National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS) index that gives a number related to the contribution of fire behavior to the effort of containing a fire. It is derived from a combination of the predicted spread and energy release components. It is expressed as a numeric value closely related to the flame length in feet multiplied by 10. The graph below shows that the BI for the Sawyers Bar RAWS is above average, but not quite to the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.


## 1000-Hour Fuel Moisture

Fuel moisture in the large dead surface fuels is another indicator of seasonal dryness. The 1000-hour dead fuels support long duration smoldering and burning, and can provide heat to initiate torching. They also support smoke production over the long term, along with duff, which is a major contributor to smoke. The dominant high pressure and associated warm dry weather has contributed to significant drying of the surface fuels, especially since the last half of June. At most of the northern California weather stations, the calculated 1000-hour fuel moisture percentage has been steadily declining and is approaching the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile at Sawyers Bar.

The 1000-hour (3-9 inch) size class fuel moisture is calculated at less than 9\% at Sawyers Bar RAWS. The actual fuel moisture on-site is likely higher in most of the large fuels than the calculated value, which represents the worst case.


## Live Fuel Moisture

The live foliar moisture content of Manzanita within the fire area is estimated to be approximately $90-109 \%$ based on gravimetric sampling. Live fuels are not a significant factor to fire spread at this time. As the season progresses warmer and drier conditions will continue to dry these live fuels to a point at which they will become available to burn. Fuel samples taken in the area indicate the following average fuel moisture measured in August:

|  | Bar Complex | Uncles <br> Complex | Uncles <br> Complex |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elevation | $\mathbf{6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Sample | $\mathbf{8 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 / 2 4}$ |
| Date | $113 \%$ | $127 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Live |  |  |  |

## Weather Outlook

The weather pattern the last several weeks has featured an unusual tendency towards frequent and rather vigorous upper level disturbances moving across the Pacific Northwest and northern California. These disturbances initially bring periodic bouts of increased southwest winds affecting the ridge tops of the Uncle Complex, with winds favoring west to northwest following the passage of the associated trough. Such a trough is likely to approach the complex late Tuesday, August 29 and pass east of the fire areas by sunrise Wednesday, August 30. Thus, an increase in southwest ridge winds is probable Tuesday afternoon, with gusty northwest ridge winds of 10 to 20 mph developing Tuesday night into early Wednesday. Winds will diminish Wednesday afternoon as the trough continues to retreat to the east. Mid-atmospheric moisture will be very limited with this trough, so the likelihood of dry thunderstorm activity is minimal near the complex, although an increase in surface relative humidity will precede the trough.

## 3 to 5 Day Outlook for Wednesday August 30 through Friday September 1

By Wednesday afternoon, the predominant wind direction will favor northwest to north with diminishing speeds. As surface high pressure settles into the northern Rockies Wednesday night, the wind flow will trend northeast, bringing lower afternoon humidity in the days to follow, along with poor overnight humidity recovery. At this time, it appears the combination of ridge top winds and lower overnight humidity Wednesday night and especially Thursday night over the fire may approach Red Flag criteria, although relatively weak and non-coincident flow aloft argues for a marginal event at best. Warmer temperatures can be expected through the period, with a slight increase in afternoon humidity during the day Friday as the offshore flow weakens.

## 6 to 14 Day Outlook for September 2 through September 10

Data garnered from NOAA's Climate Prediction Center support a moderate tendency (above 40\% chance) for above normal temperatures through the period. This is augmented by a similar moderate tendency for below normal precipitation. Climatologically for the fire area, this would argue for little to no chance for wetting rain with a dominant upper level ridge pattern in place. This would represent somewhat of a departure from the relatively active frontal pattern displayed during August. However, with above normal temperatures also expected for the northern Rockies with similar probabilities for below normal precipitation there, the implication is for a low likelihood of significant wind events, offshore or otherwise, through the period for the Uncles complex.

## 30 Day Outlook

NOAA data support a slight bias (between 33 and 40 percent) towards warmer than average temperatures accompanied by a moderate tendency (around 40 percent) for below normal precipitation for the Uncles complex. Interestingly, these data also indicate a cooling trend towards normal temperatures across the northern Rockies.

Such a development is consistent with improved chances for colder systems to infiltrate the northern tier of states, which often results in more significant offshore wind events for California. Given the transition into fall, this is climatologically to be expected. Thus, this may be a harbinger of potential significant northeast wind events, especially towards the latter half of September.

## 60 to 90 Day Outlook

The expectation is for little bias towards either above or below normal temperatures through this period, with a slight (between 33 and 40 percent chance) tendency towards below normal precipitation. Given a resurgence of above normal temperature probabilities throughout the Rocky Mountain States, this would favor a lower than average probability for strong offshore (northeast) wind events across the Uncles Complex. However, given a noted bias (above 40 percent) towards below normal precipitation across Oregon and Washington, it would appear that the typical progression of the storm track farther south may be delayed; thus, opportunities for northern California to experience a widespread, "season-ending" event may be limited and/or late in arriving.


September 2006 precipitation probability

## Season Ending Events

Fire seasons commonly end with a large scale rain event, but they can also end with the onset of shorter days and cooler/moister conditions. A useful indicator that is associated with seasonal fire potential is the energy release component (ERC). A fire analysis in Fire Family Plus was conducted using the Sawyers Bar RAWS (station ID 040222). The analysis indicated that fires over 100 acres occurred at ERCs generally greater than 50 . Season ending events were defined where the ERC dropped below 50 for more than five days after October 1st. The Term program in the Rare Event Risk Assessment Process (RERAP) was used to determine the probability of the termination of the season for different dates. The results give a probability curve as shown below:


Probability graph for season end based on Sawyers Bar RAWS dropping and remaining below an ERC of 50.
This graph shows the following:
30\% probability of a season ending event by September 20th 40\% probability of a season ending event by September 28th $50 \%$ probability of a season ending event by October $4^{\text {th }}$ $60 \%$ probability of a season ending event by October $11^{\text {th }}$ $80 \%$ probability of a season ending event by October 26th $90 \%$ probability of a season ending event by November 6th

## Fire-Slowing Events

Along with season ending events, there is a possibility of fire-slowing precipitation events prior to the end of the fire season. Precipitation of at least 0.25 inches in a day might be expected to at least slow fire spread for two or three days, while greater amounts of rain (over 0.5 inches) could slow or check fire spread for several days. The probabilities of receiving greater than 0.25 inches of rain in one day were derived using Sawyers Bar weather station precipitation data due to its proximity to the fire area. Based on historical weather records, it is most likely that precipitation will occur in late September as that is the time period when cold frontal passages are most common.

| Sawyers Bar <br> RAWS | Total Number of Days Receiving >0.25 inches <br> of Rain 1971-2005 <br> SAWYERS BAR RAWS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Late August | 10 |
| Early September | 9 |
| Late September | 37 |
| Early October | 18 |
| Late October | 30 |

## Local Large Fire History

The Klamath National Forest has historically experienced moderately large fires. District fire perimeter data is available for 1970 to 2005. Data indicates that large fires in the Scott River and Ukonom Ranger Districts averaged 7,500 acres in size in the last 30 years. In 1977 and 1987, weather and fuel conditions were particularly conducive to large fire growth. Records indicate that fire size increases to an average of 12,000 acres in size during these years. Most fires on the Forest occur in July, August and September (73\%). Fire Family Plus was used to summarize fire history data for the Klamath National Forest (Scott River and Ukonom Ranger Districts). The graphs below summarize the information.


An analysis of the fire history within the Management Confinement Area (MCA) was also conducted. The figure below displays the fire history by decade.


Fire history data indicates that the average large fire size (large fire $=>1,000$ acres) is approximately 15,000 acres in the MCA. The largest fires in history included the King Titus Fire in 1987 (68,000 acres), the Hog Fire in 1977 (58,000 acres), and the Yellow Fire in 1987 (51,000 acres).

## Wind Analysis

An analysis of winds was conducted using historical weather data from the Sawyers Bar and Blue Ridge RAWS. The table below shows wind directions that would move the fire toward identified points of concern:

Historical data analysis indicates that wind direction is typically from the SW, moving fires toward the NE. The Sawyers Bar station is located at the valley bottom and daily weather observations are recorded at 1300 so wind events associated with cold front/thunderstorm passage are likely under represented. The Blue Ridge weather station is located on a ridge top and therefore would represent the winds aloft more accurately than the Sawyers Bar RAWS.


"Rare event" fire spread would likely be associated with a cold front passage and strong, gusty winds. To determine the probability of such an event occurring Fire Family Plus was used to locate wind events $>10 \mathrm{MPH}$. The results are displayed below:



## Rare Event Risk Assessment Process

The Rare Event Risk Assessment Process (RERAP) was used to calculate fire movement and the probability of various fires reaching identified Trigger Areas, Trigger Points, and Points of Concern. RERAP inputs for active burn hours, daily spread rates, and rare event spread were calibrated using actual observations. Results assume an average daily common spread of 3-14 chains per day. A rare event spread is defined as daily spread of up to 5 miles per day. Line descriptions and RERAP results can be found in the tables/maps below for each fire assessed.

The RERAP analysis uses the following assumptions:

1) Suppression actions would not be taken on the fires assessed
2) Fire is active at the point of line origin
3) The Sawyers Bar RAWS adequately represents conditions in the areas where the fire is burning
4) Local fuel model layers and fire history maps provided by the forest are generally accurate
5) Local knowledge and observed fire behavior would improve calculated results
6) If observed fire behavior or fire weather changes significantly from observed and fire movement changes, recalculation of probabilities will occur

The data used to complete the analysis includes:

1) Fuels Data provided by the Region. New 40 Fuel Models were used.
2) Fire History Data
3) Aspect and Slope layers created from DEMs
4) 1971-2005 weather observations from Sawyers Bar weather station
5) Rate of spread values calibrated to approximate observed fire growth from 7/28 to $8 / 25$.

## SUMMARY OF RERAP ANALYSIS LINES AND RESULTS

## Hancock Fire

RERAP results are displayed in the table below. Assuming no suppression actions are taken, RERAP shows that it is most likely ( $45-50 \%$ ) that the Hancock Fire will reach Trigger Point 2 (northeast of current fire) and Trigger Point 5 (Steinacher Ridge) before season end with the greatest likelihood occurring in early September.

Additionally, if the fire crosses Wooley Creek to the north, it is somewhat likely (40\%) the fire will reach Medicine Mountain if no suppression actions are taken.

Based on historical weather data and observed fire behavior, it is less likely (<15\%) that the fire will reach the MCA boundary to the southwest, west, north or northeast.

| Hancock Fire |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Point | Line Segment | Distance of Line | Time Period with highest probability | Probability by Season End (November) |
| POINTS OF CONCERN -WEST |  |  |  |  |
| Wooley Camp and Trigger Area 1 | Line 1-West to Wooley Camp | 120 chains; 1.5 miles | Early Sept | 10\% |
| Trigger Area 2 | Line 2-West from Wooley Creek |  | None | <1\% |
| Management Confinement Area (MCA) Boundary WEST | Line 2-If fire crosses Wooley Creek, to WFSA boundary WEST | 526 chains; 6.5 miles | None | <1\% |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-SOUTHWEST |  |  |  |  |
| Trigger Point 5 Steinacher Ridge | Line4-SW to Steinacher ridge from Rock Creek | 113chains; <br> 1.4 miles | Early Sept | 30\% |
| Trigger Area 1 | Line 4-SW from Rock Creek | 226 chains; 2.8 miles | Early Sept | 15\% |
| Trigger Area 2 | Line 4-SW from Rock Creek | 314 chains; 4 miles | Early Sept | 10\% |
| MCA Boundary SW | Line 4-SW from Rock Creek | 396 chains; 5 miles | Early Sept | 10\% |
| Brannon Bar and MCA SW | Line3-If fire reaches Wooley Camp, SW along Wooley Creek | 203 chains; 2.5 miles | None | <1\% |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-NORTH and NORTHEAST |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine Mountain | Line 6-North if fire crosses Wooley Creek north up canyon creek to medicine mountain. | 250 chains; 3.0 miles | Late Sept | 40\% |
| MCA Boundary North | Line 6-North if fire crosses wooley creek past Medicine Mnt to WFSA Boundary N | 609 chains; 7.6 miles | Late Sept | 5\% |
| Trigger Point 2 | Line 5-NE from Big Meadow Creek | 114 chains; <br> 1.4 miles | Early Sept | 45\% |
| MCA Boundary NE | Line 7-If fire crosses Wooley Creek at Big Meadow, NE following North Fork Wooley Creek | 267 chains; <br> 3.3 miles | Late Sept | 15\% |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-SOUTHEAST |  |  |  |  |
| Trigger Point 5 | Line 8-SE following Salt Log Creek | 184 chains; <br> 2.3 miles | Early Sept | 50\% |
| Hayden's Cabin | Line 8-SE past TA1 | 368 chains; 4.6 miles | Early Sept | 10\% |
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## Uncles Fire

RERAP results are displayed in the table below. Assuming no suppression actions are taken, RERAP shows that it is most likely that the Uncles Fire will reach Trigger Point 3 (95\%) to the east and Trigger Area 1 (90\%) to the south and southeast. Additionally, it is somewhat likely (40\%) that the fire will reach Abbot's Ranch and the North Fork of the Salmon River to the east. Furthermore, there is a 35\% chance the fire will reach the MCA boundary to the northeast if no suppression actions are taken. However, since suppression actions are being taken on the northern and eastern flanks of the Uncles Fire, these probabilities have been greatly reduced.

Based on historical weather data and observed fire behavior, it is not likely (<5\%) that the fire will reach the MCA boundary to the southwest, south or southeast (Mule Bridge).

| Uncles Fire |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Point | Line Segment | Distance of Line | Time Period with highest probability | Probability by Season End (November) |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-EAST and NORTHEAST |  |  |  |  |
| Trigger Point 3 | Line 2-East from the Right Hand Creek of Uncles | 33 chains; <br> 0.4 miles | Late Sept | 95\% |
| Abbot's Cabin | Line 2-East from the fire's edge down Wall Creek drainage to Middle Fork | 203 chains; <br> 2.5 miles | Late Sept | 40\% |
| MCA Boundary to NE | Line 1-Northeast through Deadman Gulch | 502 chains; <br> 6.2 miles | Early Sept | 35\% |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-SOUTHEAST and SOUTH |  |  |  |  |
| Trigger Area 1 | Line 3-Southeast to ridgetop | 67 chains; 0.8 miles | Late Sept | 90\% |
| Trigger Area 2 | Line 3-Southeast | 126 chains; <br> 1.6 miles | Late Sept | 45\% |
| Mule Bridge and MCA SE | Line 3-Southeast | 393 chains; <br> 5 miles | None | <1\% |
| Trigger Area 1 | Line 4-South | 33 chains; <br> 0.4 miles | Late Sept | 90\% |
| Trigger Area 2 | Line 4-South | 87 chains; 1.0 miles | Late Sept | 15\% |
| MCA South | Line 4-South to Salmon River | 208 chains; <br> 2.6 miles | Late Sept | 5\% |
| POINTS OF CONCERN-SOUTHWEST AND WEST |  |  |  |  |
| Hayden's Cabin and Trigger Area 1 | Line 5-Southwest | 354 chains; 4.4 miles | None | <1\% |
| Tom Taylor's Cabin | Line 6-West | 98 chains; 1.2 miles | None | <1\% |
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## FIRE BEHAVIOR

## Observed Fire Behavior Summary and Discussion

Fire behavior has been significantly influenced by the fuels and topography. Currently fire growth has been slowed in the drainage bottoms (Hancock, Wooley, Rock, and Uncles Creek) by the influences of the relatively high moisture content ( $>100 \%$ ) in the heavy shrub and tanoak component. Fire spread via low to moderate backing and flanking activity is the norm. Where 1000 hour fuels are driest (west and south aspects) and on exposed slopes spotting and isolated uphill crown fire runs have occurred in areas with heavier fuels and canopy. Upper level winds have been light and variable and generally have had little influence on fire behavior when less than 10 MPH . Minimum RH has typically ranged from 15-20\% with maximum temperatures in the 90 's. Generally, the fires become active when the inversion breaks, after 1300, and have also been burning in the evening and early morning hours when relative humidity recovery is poor. On average the fires have been burning activity and gaining acres in a 3-5 hour period between 1500 and 1800 daily.

The Hancock Fire is approximately 8,000 acres and continues to burn in shrub and timber fuel models (mixed conifer stands and shrub fields with moderate to heavy loads of downed woody fuels (see map in Fire Behavior Appendix); in very steep terrain, at between 2000' and 6000' elevation along the south side of Wooley Creek between Big Meadows and Rock Creek. This area was burned in the 1950's, 1987, and 1999. The fire has been backing and flanking though all fuel models with occasional torching in the timber. Ignitions from roll out occasionally make small upslope stand replacement runs on the upper 1/3 of the slope. Flame lengths range from 1 foot backing, 1-4 feet flanking, and $>5$ feet on upslope runs with short range spotting in Big Meadows, Hell Hole, Hancock, and Rock Creek drainages. The fire is most active at the headwaters of these drainages where slopes are exposed.

The Hancock Fire has burned 250-300 acres per day, mostly to the east/southeast in the head of Rock and Hancock Creeks, and also toward the west/north in Hell Hole and Big Meadow Creek (see Observed Fire Growth Table and Progression map below). The fire will become more active if it becomes established across Wooley Creek, Rock Creek and/or Big Meadow Creek and as live fuels continue to dry and warm weather persists across the region.

| Day | North | NE | East | SE | South | SW | West | NW | Total Chains per Day | Average Daily Spread | Estimated Fire Size | Total Daily Growth (ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hancock Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/26/06 | 4.8 | 42.6 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 10.13 | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 179.73 | 22 | 10 | 40 |
| 7127/06 | 4.8 | 42.6 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 10.13 | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 179.73 | 22 | 626 | 616 |
| 7128/06 | 4.8 | 42.6 | 56.5 | 56.5 | 10.13 | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 179.73 | 22 | 1263 | 637 |
| 7129/06 | 10.6 | 8.53 | 6.66 | 10.66 | 11.2 | 3.33 | 4.8 | 0 | 55.78 | 7 | 1541 | 278 |
| 7130/06 | 10.6 | 8.53 | 6.66 | 10.66 | 11.2 | 3.33 | 4.8 | 0 | 55.78 | 7 | 1819 | 278 |
| 7131/06 | 10.6 | 8.53 | 6.66 | 10.66 | 11.2 | 3.33 | 4.8 | 0 | 55.78 | 7 | 2097 | 278 |
| 8/1/06 | 16 | 32 | 30.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78.4 | 10 | 2695 | 598 |
| 8/2/06 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.4 | 6 | 29.2 | 4 | 2721 | 26 |
| 8/3/06 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.4 | 6 | 29.2 | 4 | 2748 | 27 |
| 8/4/06 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 2803 | 55 |
| 8/5/06 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | No Data | 2822 | 19 |
| 8/6/06 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | No Data | 2997 | 175 |
| 8/7106 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | No Data | 3171 | 175 |
| 8/8/06 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | No Data | 3346 | 175 |
| 8/9/06 | 0 | 7.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 3372 | 26 |
| 8/10/06 | 0 | 7.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 3398 | 26 |
| 8/11/06 | 0 | 7.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 3424 | 26 |
| 8/12/06 | 0 | 7.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 3450 | 26 |
| 8/13/06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 56 | 60 | 12 | 160 | 20 | 3476 | 26 |
| 8/14/06 | 0 | 6.66 | 8 | 9.3 | 20 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 12 | 68.76 | 9 | 3620 | 144 |
| 8/15/06 | 0 | 6.66 | 8 | 9.3 | 20 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 12 | 68.76 | 9 | 3764 | 144 |
| 8/16/06 | 0 | 6.66 | 8 | 9.3 | 20 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 12 | 68.76 | 9 | 3908 | 144 |
| 8/17/06 | 8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 68 | 44 | 54.5 | 24 | 12 | 215.3 | 27 | 4616 | 708 |
| 8/18/06 | 10.6 | 8.26 | 8.26 | 14.13 | 4.8 | 9.86 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 69.61 | 9 | 4960 | 344 |
| 8/19/06 | 10.6 | 8.26 | 8.26 | 14.13 | 4.8 | 9.86 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 69.61 | 9 | 5305 | 345 |
| 8/20/06 | 10.6 | 8.26 | 8.26 | 14.13 | 4.8 | 9.86 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 69.61 | 9 | 5649 | 344 |
| 8/21/06 | 10 | 10.4 | 37.6 | 70.4 | 40 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 232.4 | 29 | 6182 | 533 |
| 8/22/06 | 3.2 | 0 | 28 | 7.6 | 13.6 | 19.2 | 37.5 | 5 | 114.1 | 14 | 6676 | 494 |
| 8/23/06 | 3.2 | 0 | 28 | 7.6 | 13.6 | 19.2 | 37.5 | 5 | 114.1 | 14 | 7170 | 494 |
| 8/24/06 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 8 | 10 | 47.6 | 6 | 7277 | 107 |
| 8/25/06 | 10 | 8 | 31.2 | 48 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 153.2 | 19 | 7690 | 413 |
| 8/26/06 | 10 | 8 | 31.2 | 48 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 153.2 | 19 | 8036 | 346 |
| 8/27/06 | 29.6 | 40 | 28 | 24.8 | 40 | 56 | 48 | 0 | 266.4 | 33 | 8683 | 647 |
| 8/28/06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.8 | 10 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 69.2 | 9 | 8732 | 49 |
| Totals | 187.2 | 357.35 | 497.46 | 594.17 | 414.79 | 360.47 | 366.8 | 109.7 | 2887.94 | 361 |  |  |
| Average CH per Aspect | 5.51 | 10.51 | 14.63 | 17.48 | 12.20 | 10.60 | 10.79 | 3.23 | 84.94 | 10.62 |  | 250 |
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The Uncles Fire is approximately 2,700 acres, located approximately 1 mile east of English peak. It continues to smolder and creep at 3600-6500 feet elevation, mainly in timber patches in the vicinity of Abbot Lake and in Uncles and Right Hand Uncles Creek. The fire burned mostly in mixed conifer stands that have no recent fire history. Fire growth was slowed by the Specimen Fire (1994) located along the eastern fire perimeter. High fuel moistures in the creek bottoms, suppression actions, and sparse, discontinuous fuels in the vicinity of the lakes have significantly slowed fire spread.

The fire has grown on average between 50-100 acres per day (see Observed Fire Growth Table and progression map below). Spread is primarily towards the east and north as a backing and flanking fire, with average rates of spread of 1-3 chains/hour and flame lengths 1-3 feet backing and 2-4 feet flanking and upslope. When active the fire made several uphill crown runs on the west aspects approximately 1 mile from Abbot and Lake of the Islands and spotted on $7 / 28$ in the isolated timber patches in the vicinity of the lakes. As of $8 / 26$, the fire was no longer active or growing except in the vicinity of the lakes.

| Day | North | NE | East | SE | South | SW | West | NW | Total Chains per Day | Average Daily Spread | Estimated Fire Size | Total Daily Growth (ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uncles Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/26/06 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 40 | 40 |
| 7127/06 | 38 | 34 | 26 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 65.3 | 206 | 26 | 247 | 207 |
| 7128/06 | 38 | 34 | 26 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 65.3 | 206 | 26 | 546 | 299 |
| 7129/06 | 0 | 20 | 13.3 | 8.53 | 12 | 0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 57.03 | 7 | 845 | 299 |
| 7130/06 | 0 | 20 | 13.3 | 8.53 | 12 | 0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 57.03 | 7 | 1144 | 299 |
| 7131/06 | 0 | 20 | 13.3 | 8.53 | 12 | 0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 57.03 | 7 | 1443 | 299 |
| 8/1/06 | 8 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0 | 44 | 6 | 1726 | 283 |
| 8/2/06 | 3.2 | 2 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 34.4 | 4 | 1756 | 30 |
| 8/3/06 | 3.2 | 2 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 34.4 | 4 | 1787 | 31 |
| 8/4/06 | 3.2 | 2 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 34.4 | 4 | 1859 | 72 |
| 8/5/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 1925 | 66 |
| 8/6/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2029 | 104 |
| 8/7/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2133 | 104 |
| 8/8/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2237 | 104 |
| 8/9/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2247 | 10.4 |
| 8/10/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2257 | 10 |
| 8/11/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2268 | 10.4 |
| 8/12/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2278 | 10.4 |
| 8/13/06 | 1.77 | 2.66 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 2.22 | 1 | 13.52 | 2 | 2289 | 10.8 |
| 8/14/06 | 5.05 | 2.66 | 1.6 | 1.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.37 | 1 | 2300 | 10.6 |
| 8/15/06 | 5.05 | 2.66 | 1.6 | 1.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.37 | 1 | 2310 | 10.6 |
| 8/16/06 | 5.05 | 2.66 | 1.6 | 1.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.37 | 1 | 2321 | 10.8 |
| 8/17/06 | 8 | 2.66 | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8.8 | 41.96 | 5 | 2344 | 23 |
| 8/18/06 | 1.6 | 2.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.26 | 1 | 2345 | 1 |
| 8/19/06 | 1.6 | 2.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.26 | 1 | 2346 | 1 |
| 8/20/06 | 1.6 | 2.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.26 | 1 | 2347 | 1 |
| 8/21/06 | 12 | 2.66 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 3 | 54.46 | 7 | 2492 | 145 |
| 8/22/06 | 4 | 2.66 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 42.66 | 5 | 2554 | 61.5 |
| 8/23/06 | 4 | 2.66 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 42.66 | 5 | 2610 | 56.5 |
| 8/24/06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 1 | 2615 | 5 |
| 8/25/06 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.4 | 21.4 | 3 | 2645 | 30 |
| 8/26/06 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.4 | 21.4 | 3 | 2695 | 50 |
| 8/27/06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2695 | 0 |
| 8/28/06 | 0 | 40 | 14.4 | 8.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63.2 | 8 | 2788 | 93 |
| Totals | 211.88 | 2.66 | 181.98 | 104.26 | 97.52 | 66.84 | 139.98 | 174.2 | 1222 |  |  | -2788 |
| Average CH per Aspect | 6.23 | 0.08 | 5.35 | 3.07 | 2.87 | 1.97 | 4.12 | 5.12 | 35.94 | 4 |  | 0 |
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## Predicted Fire Growth

If conditions remain the same, expect the Hancock fire to reach 12,000 acres by Sept $8^{\text {th }}$. The fire should continue to grow to the southeast, and east towards the Uncles fire and the lakes, as well as move south and southeast across Rock Creek toward Steinacher Ridge. Also, expect the fire to continue growing to the east/southeast in the headwaters of Hell Hole and the un-named drainage. To the north, expect the fire to cross Big Meadow Creek but stall out before reaching the South Fork of Wooley Creek. Given suppression actions taken along Wooley Creek, expect the fire to hold along Wooley Creek. If the fire does cross Wooley Creek however, the fire has potential to increase in activity if established in the SW/NE oriented and aligned drainages of Canyon Creek (in the vicinity of Fowler Cabin), and North Fork Wooley (near the confluence of Big Meadow Creek and Wooley on the northeast end of the fire).

If conditions remain the same, expect the Uncles Fire to reach 3,000 acres by September $8^{\text {th }}$. The fire should continue to grow slowly around the lakes toward the north and east. Suppression actions have generally slowed and/or stopped fire growth in other directions.

## Fire Spread Probability (FSPRO)

The Rare Event Risk Assessment Process is typically used to determine the likelihood of a fire spreading to a point of interest or concern before a season-ending or fireending event. Because it is not likely that either of those will occur within the next three months, this process may not provide all of the information fire managers need to make decisions in the short term on this wildland fire use event.

A new tool just being developed for wildland fire decision support is Fire Spread Probability (FSPro). The Missoula Fire Lab is developing and testing this experimental program that is designed to estimate the likely paths of fire spread from a point or polygon and the probability of this spread occurring in a given time period based on fuels, topography, and historical weather and wind data. In the FSPro analysis, thousands of scenarios are generated based on historical probabilities of daily fuel moistures and ERCs as well as hourly winds for a given time period. Fire spread is then projected for that time period under each of these thousands of weather/wind scenarios to determine the probability of the fire spreading across the landscape.

The Hancock and Uncles Fire were analyzed together in a 14 day probability analysis (August $23^{\text {rd }}$-Sept $7^{\text {th }}$ ). Model results showed an 80 percent probability of the Uncles Complex reaching 50,000 acres in size. Though FSpro results show a high probability of the fire breaching the MCA to the southeast, it is not likely as FSpro results assume no suppression actions and an active perimeter. Suppression actions have been taken on the south and east flanks which has substantially reduced the probability.

| Fire Spread Probability (FSpro) RESULTS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $80 \%$ | 50,000 acres |
| $60 \%$ | 53,000 acres |
| $40 \%$ | 57,000 acres |
| $20 \%$ | 62,000 acres |
| $<20 \%$ | 72,000 acres |

The map with results is displayed in Section XX, Threats to MCA. This information was modeled by utilizing the historical weather data from the Sawyers Bar and the Blue Ridge RAWS. The results of the 14 day FSPRO which was calculated for the Hancock and Uncles fires showed a significant increase in the overall acreage and in fact the two fires growing together. The fires are predicted to increase in generally all directions with minimal spread to the west. The probability of fire spreading to each area on the map based on the current perimeter within the given time period is displayed by the different colored bands. Beginning with a >90\% probability of spreading to the areas within the innermost red contour in the 14-day period, each successive contour of color represents decreasing probability of fire spread. The outermost green contour indicates there is less than a $0.5 \%$ probability of the fire spreading to those areas within the given time period based on the historical weather and fuel model data used in the analysis. It also indicates that the weather parameters that could cause fire spread to those areas has happened at least once in the historical record.


## Summary of Expected Fire Behavior

- If the dry weather pattern continues, expect the shrub species to become more available for burning as live fuel moisture decline. Expect increased intensity, spread rates and daily growth for the next month, especially on the south aspects.
- Sustained winds of >10 mph were a primary factor for fire spread on both the Uncles and Hancock Fires during the periods of large fire growth. As canyons align with winds stronger than 10 mph , the fire behavior should be expected to increase significantly.
- Expect the Hancock Fire to continue to move south and southeast within and across Rock Creek and towards Steinacher Ridge. Also expect fire continue to move east and northeast in Hancock, Hell Hole and Big Meadows Creek. Ignitions from rollout will continue to be the primary method for fire growth in steep terrain. A westerly or SW wind event could move the fire significantly up drainage where it could make runs toward the Uncles fire area.
- Expect the Uncles Fire not to increase in size significantly in the next week unless a wind event occurs. Fire activity is currently limited to the head of Uncles Creek and Abotts Lake.


## LONG RANGE IMPLEMENTATI ON PLAN UNCLES COMPLEX KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST

## APPENDIX B
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## Recreation Resources

Minimum Impact Suppression Tactics Utilize appropriate suppression response and Minimum Impact Suppression Techniques in the Marble Mountain Wilderness.

Fire Line: Use natural barriers if possible. Minimize the bucking and felling of trees. Allow burning trees or snags to burn themselves out. Minimize the construction of Helispots and handlines.

Camps: Select impact resistant sites. Pack out all garbage-keep a clean camp. Carry water and bathe away from lakes. Use toilets if provided otherwise dig a cathole 6"-8' deep and 200' away from water sources. Minimize the number of trails in and around camps. Practice "Leave No Trace" Techniques.

The District requests that it be stressed at briefings that Wilderness Values and Characteristics be kept in mind when making decisions that have significant impacts upon the landscape during this Appropriate Management Response to the Uncle's Complex.

| Structure | Lat/Long | Material | Actions Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreational Residences | 41.341 N 123.073 W | Wood | Clear Around Buildings / Wrap |
| Mule Bridge Corrals | 41.357 N 123.074 W | Wood | Clear Around Corrals |
| Bridges |  |  |  |
| Mule Bridge | 41.357 N 123.074 W | Metal <br> Wood <br> Decking | Wrap or Cover Decking |
| 6 Mile Bridge | 41.4035 N 123.098 W | Metal Wood Decking | Wrap or Cover Decking |
| Lower Abbot's Ranch | 41.408 N 123.100 W | Metal | Clear Around Building. |
| Ahlgrens (Hayden's) Cabin | 41.355 N 123.246 W | Wood | Wrap |
| English Peak Look out | 41.401 N 123.213 W | Wood / Metal Roof | Wrap |
| Tom Taylor Cabin | $41.399 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{123.225} \mathrm{~W}$ | Wood | Wrap |
| Marble Valley Cabin | 41.566 N 123.199 W | Wood | Wrap |
| Fowler's Cabin | 41.442 N 123.354 W | Wood | Clear Around Buildings / Wrap |
| Wooley Camp | 41.426 N 123.383 W | Wood | Clear Around Buildings / Wrap |

Upper North Fork Salmon River Drainage: Hancock, Abbott, English Lake area - High Wilderness and recreational values

* Waiting to hear from Ukonom Ranger District for Lat / Long of their bridges
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## Fishery Resource Fire Concerns and Resource Protection Measures

## Water Use and Withdrawal

1) It is preferable for engines to pump from a Fold-A-Tank rather than directly from a stream channel. Draft water from ponds, if available, in order to avoid drafting in streams where coho salmon juveniles or their critical habitat may be present. The goal is to minimize using water sources where there is a risk of entraining listed species or reducing stream flows, both on and off-site.
2) Water tenders: be careful of oil drips on stream banks. Put drop clothes down prior to drafting.

When water drafting from anadromous fish bearing reaches:

1) Screen intake hoses with $3 / 32$ " mesh, or create in stream fish-exclusion area using a box or other device with $3 / 32$ " mesh.
2) Where practical (and suppression efforts are not impeded), pumping rate will not exceed 350 gallons per minute or $10 \%$ of the stream flow, measured at the first point of anadromy downstream of the drafting site.
3) Pumping will be terminated when tank is full to avoid bank erosion and rilling.

Priorities for taking water (Helicopters):

1) $1^{\text {st }}$ choice - Lakes outside wilderness
2) $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice - Lakes inside wilderness
3) $3^{\text {rd }}$ choice - Mainstem Klamath above confluence with tributaries

## Foam Use

1) Avoid using foam near Riparian Reserves (RRs) as foam is at least Ten Time More Toxic than Non-Foam retardant to Aquatic Life! Ok to use foam on hillslopes and ridgelines away from stream channels and RRs
2) Follow USFS 2000 directions be dropping retardant at least 300 feet from a stream channel.
3) Try to use the newer form of retardant (I think it's called 95-A) as this retardant is less toxic to aquatic life than previous versions.

Exceptions:

- When alternative line construction tactics are not available due to terrain constraints, congested area, life and property concerns, etc. it is acceptable to anchor the retardant line to the RR. When anchoring a retardant application to the RR, use the most accurate method of delivery in order to minimize placement of retardant in the stream channel (e.g., a helicopter rather than an air tanker).
- Deviations from these guidelines are acceptable when life or property is threatened and the use of retardant or foam can be reasonably expected to alleviate the threat.
- When potential damage to natural resources outweighs possible loss of aquatic life, the unit administrator may approve a deviation from these guidelines.
- Report to fish biologist when the USFS 2000 direction was not met ASAP so that follow up fieldwork can be done to determine impacts. This means, that when the direction is not met, report number, location, and magnitude of aerial retardant applications [with regard to stream channels].


## Opening Closed Roads

1) To the extent practical, avoid and minimize road and stream crossing reconstruction. Restore roads damaged or opened during fire suppression. Restoration work should be done during fire suppression rehabilitation.
2) Track the amount of re-opened, and rehabilitated roads.

## Fire Line Construction

1) Restore dozer and hand lines constructed during fire suppression. Restoration work
should be done during fire suppression rehabilitation.

## Backfire Operations

1) Approval to backfire from RR's if necessary.
2) Since backfiring operations are low to moderate intensity, it is acceptable if some fire backs into RR's during these operations.
3) Restore fire lines constructed for backfiring operations as stated above.

## Other concerns

1) Since the fire within the Wooley Creek drainage is largely low to moderate intensity no concerns at this time.
2) Keep the fire out of Crapo Creek Watershed if possible since there are large portions of decomposed granitics present throughout that watershed so high risk of erosion occurring should the fire reach that watershed.

Any Question please contact:
Brian Thomas
Fishery Biologist
Klamath National Forest Supervisor's Office
530-841-4547
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## Late Seral Forest Threatened, Endangered, And Sensitive Species

## Northern Goshawk and Northern Spotted Owls

Northern goshawk and northern spotted owls can occur throughout the project areas. GIS layers indicate known activity centers for these species. Many of these historic locations have not been verified for years. There is a low risk of disturbances to reproducing owls and goshawk, since breeding chronology's are nearly completed for this year.

Suitable spotted owl habitat will benefit from low to moderate intensity burns by reducing fuel loadings and increasing the longevity of the stand. A mosaic of low to moderate fire intensities should not preclude its use next breeding season. High intensity burns will result in a loss of habitats and may take many years before reaches suitability again. In suitable habitat and areas of historic NSO, suppression actions should attempt to emphasize low to moderate intensity fires and limit stand replacing (high) intensities if possible. Back firing can be beneficial particularly if it can keep fires in a low to moderate intensity.

## Peregrine Falcon

One known eyrie is located in the Tom Payne area (SW portion of the Marble Mountains Wilderness). In addition, a credible incidental sighting of an adult peregrine falcon was made by the helitack crew member on 8/3/2006 near English Peak above the Uncles Fire. Peregrine falcons nests on exposed cliff habitat thru the end of July. Though this species is very susceptible to human disturbance, there should be little risk to nesting since breeding is complete this year. Dozer lines should consider not being placed on the edges of exposed cliff habitats

## Pacific Giant Salamander (aka native American name "puf-puf")

Common aquatic salamander in cold high gradient streams can reach 300 mm (11-12") long. Recognized to be important to local native culture. This species can have gills as adults or metomorphasize to terrestrial life.

Recommendations are to prevent suction into portable pumps by applying adequate filters on intakes. Do not run any ponds or standing bodies of water dry.

## Rough-skinned Newt

Common aquatic newt in ponds and small lakes and can reach 170 mm (6-7") long. This newt has a bright orange underside and many small glands on dorsal side. Roughskinned newts are highly toxic and should not be handled.

Recommendations are to prevent suction into portable pumps by applying adequate filters on intakes. Do not run any ponds or standing waterbodies dry. Do not handle this species. If handled hands should be washed thoroughly.
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## Range Management Information for the Uncle's Complex Fires

The Uncle's complex is in the vicinity of the Little North Fork grazing allotment for which a term livestock grazing permit is issued to the Hayden Ranch in Scott Valley. Charlie and Pam Hayden are the primary family members operating in the area and run 250 cow/calf pairs from mid-July through mid-October. The current grazing allotment boundaries are in GIS. Currently the fires are outside the allotment boundaries and the livestock grazing occurs in high meadows and openings several miles from current fire activity.

The primary grazing areas include Crapo Meadows, Dollar Meadows, Morehouse Meadows, Devils Canyon and the head of Steinacher Creek. The permittee has a cabin (Alghren Cabin) located in Sec. 32 at the head of Crapo Creek. I have contacted the permittee and left a message indicating that we would like to know when they go in to the allotment area, which typically is every week or two to manage livestock, move salt, etc. The livestock and the permittee access the allotment via the Little North Fork and Cherry Creek roads--40N51 road and then up 40N33 to the end. Both roads are on the hillside to the west of the Little NF Salmon River. At the end of 40N33, cattle are herded up a trail that crosses the Little NF Salmon River and heads up toward the main pasture units of the allotment.

Where the trail heads up from the $40 N 33$ road there is a gate that is closed after cattle are up in the allotment and should remain closed throughout the grazing season (Snowslide Gulch, see map), Cattle are usually stopped at this location as they attempt to head back down the 40N33 road near the end of the grazing season. Cows usually leave the allotment using the same trails and roads they used to get up. During the end of the grazing season (typically when the weather starts to change and cool off significantly late in the season) cattle start to move down and out of the allotment. They will typically move down together as a herd and are stopped at one of three gates along the cattle route used to control their movement and facilitate their transportation out of the area. At this time it will be important to coordinate with the permittee and fire personnel who may be using the same routes to access the fire area.

I can be contacted at 468-1226 or by cell 598-9330.
Charlie and Pam Hayden's home phone is 467-3915. Charlie's cell phone number is 598-6413.

If I receive more information from the Hayden's I will forward it on to Bill, Mike and the team.

Anne Yost
Regional Rangeland Management Specialist
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## Botany, and Noxious Weeds

Marla Knight, Botanist. (530) 468-1238.
August 22, 2006
All Sensitive plant and noxious weed sites through field season 2005 are mapped in GIS.

| RESOURCE | RECOMMENDED MITIGATION |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sensitive Plant Species: <br> Howell's Tauschia <br> Tauschia howellii <br> Code: TAHO2 <br> Populations \#: $\begin{array}{r}\text { TAHO2-5-1 } \\ \text { TAHO2-5-2 } \\ \text { TAHO2-5-3 }\end{array}$ <br> Locations are in GIS. <br> Photos of habitat and plant available. | Use natural landscape as fire break if possible. If constructed fire line is necessary: hand line only, shallow scraping, no more than 2-3 inches deep. |
| Sensitive Plant Species: <br> English Peak Greenbriar <br> Smilax jamesii <br> Code: SMJA <br> Locations approximate, as provided on 7.5 minute quad map, not on Forest GIS layer. | No mitigation necessary. This is a perennial vine that dies back to a deep root crown every year. |
| National Champion Record Tree: <br> Incense Cedar <br> Calocedrus decurrens <br> Code: CADE3 <br> Height 163'; <br> Diameter: 12' 6"; <br> Circumference: 38' 1.5"; <br> Spread: 52' <br> Location: Datum NAD83: <br> $480807 \times 4578561$ <br> Devil's Canyon <br> Photos available | If fire appears to be imminent in this location, site evaluation should be initiated for the protection of this resource. Potential for crown fire should be eliminated. Low intensity ground fire would not be a problem, and there are limited ground fuels around this tree. |


| RESOURCE | RECOMMENDED MITIGATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noxious Weeds: |  |
| Species present: | All weed polygons should be avoided for <br> all activities, including staging, and water <br> fill sites (covered below). |
| Spotted knapweed, CEMA4 <br> Diffuse knapweed, CEDI3 | Incoming equipment should be washed <br> prior to arriving on FS land, outgoing <br> equipment washed on demobilization. |
| Dyer's woad, ISTI <br> (some locations of this species along the <br> Cherry Creek road are not mapped. | All feed for stock used on this Incident, <br> and materials used in rehab, are required <br> to be "noxious weed free". A local source <br> for hay and straw that is Certified as <br> Weed Free is: Cody Custer, Scott Valley <br> Yellow starthistle, CESO3 <br> Scotch broom, CYSC4 |
| Farms, (530) 467-5745, cell (530) 598- <br> 1466. |  |
| Tanker Fill Sites: | Sources for weed free gravel: unknown. |
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## Potential Hunting/Outfitter Camps

| Dispersed Camping Areas | Uncle's Complex |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | Lat/Long |
| Upper Abbot's Cabin Site | 41.430 N 123.186 W |
| Abbott's Lake | 41.416 N 123.186 W |
| Big Meadows | 41.458 N 123.192 W |
| Clear Lake | 41.384 N 123.274 W |
| Crapo Meadows | 41.359 N 123.276 W |
| Chimney Rock Lake | 41.370 N 123.273 W |
| English Lake | 41.415 N 123.208 W |
| Grant's Meadow | 41.481 N 123.132 W |
| Hamilton Camp | 41.383 N 123.255 W |
| Hancock Lake | 41.421 N 123.224 W |
| Haypress Meadows | $41.508 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{123.407} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Horse Range Lake | 41.427 N 123.205 W |
| Lake Ethel | 41.450 N 123.206 W |
| Lake Katherine | 41.453 N 123.216 W |
| Lake of the Island | 41.417 N 123.167 W |
| Lost Lake | 41.396 N 123.269W |
| Morehouse Meadows | 41.360 N 123.292 W |
| Pierce's Draw | 41.439 N 123.179 W |
| Pine Lake | $41.403 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{123.232} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Wild Lake | 41.459 N 123.183 W |
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## Structure Protection and Community Risk Assessment Plans

Structure plans are divided into two separate sections for the Uncles Complex. Both plans are combined in the Salmon River Community Risk Assessment binder that will be left with incoming Incident Management Teams and on file at the Salmon/Scott River Ranger District.

Contacts:
Ray Haupt
District Ranger
Salmon/Scott River District
Klamath National Forest
Thomas Annand
District Fire Management Officer
Orleans Ranger District
Six Rivers National Forest
530-627-3291
(Orleans Complex Structure Protection Plan)


## LONG RANGE IMPLEMENTATI ON PLAN UNCLES COMPLEX KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST

## APPENDIX D

## SMOKE MANAGEMENT

## Smoke

Three topics follow: a very rough estimate of total pollutants generated a discussion of the effects of particulate matter and carbon dioxide, and recommendations to manage smoke.

## Quantity of Pollution from Smoke

The following spreadsheet builds estimates from coarse data. Its solutions convey a general magnitude, and are not precise. The source spreadsheet is included in the fires' records, and its assumptions can be changed.

| Air Pollution from Hancock and Uncles Fires |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Assumptions and Intermediate Calculations | $\underline{l i m b e r}$ | Brush |  |
| Percent of area blackened within perimeter | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |
| Surface fuel, tons/acre | 47 | 13 |  |
| Percent of surface fuel consumed in black | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |
| Canopy fuel, tons/acre | 2.47 | 0 |  |
| Percent of canopy consumed in black | 0.01 | 0 |  |
| Depth of litter and duff, inches | 4 | 1 |  |
| Litter and duff wgt, tons/acre-inch | 8 | 8 |  |
| Percent of litter consumed in black | 0.33 | 0.2 |  |
| Total tons/acre consumed | 10.90 | 2.63 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| PM 2.5, tons per ton of fuel burned | 0.0094 | 0.0112 |  |
| Tons of PM2.5 per perimeter acre | 0.10 | 0.03 |  |
| CO2, tons per ton of fuel burned | 1.58 | 1.54 |  |
| Tons of CO2 per perimeter acre | 17.25 | 4.04 |  |
| Portion of area in the fuel category | 0.84 | 0.16 |  |


|  | Area | Daily Avg | Per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary | Totals | To Date | 10,000 ac |
| Acres in Fires' Perimeters | 1 | 262 | 10,000 |
| Tons of PM2.5 | 0.09 | 24 | 908 |
| Tons of CO2 | 15.13 | 3,968 | 151,332 |

## Data Sources

Emission factors: fire averages for mixed conifer and for hardwoods, D. Hardy et al 1989. Among the limited choices, hardwoods seem to be the best fit for this fire's intensity when it burns shrubs.

Duff depths and consumption are DIVS Winslow Robertson's best estimate, fieldbased.
Average \% of area black, heavy fuel load, \& \% of surface and canopy fuels consumed are LTAN Krista Golnick-Waid's best estimate based on aerial observation
There is a notable dearth of published estimates of duff and litter density. A value assigned to litter and duff combined in the National Park Service's fire effects monitoring handbook is used.
The daily average acres represents both fires' growth from 7/28 (the earliest date both fires had substantial perimeters that could spread) and 8/23
Canopy fuel estimated from Bernie Bahro's (USFS; see long-term analysis) canopy bulk density of $.05 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and PIO field estimates of average stand height of 70' and crown base height of 10'. 60\% closure was estimated from airborne incident photos Canopy fuel load is not estimated for brush; zero is a placeholder.
Surface fuel: 1000 hrs: LTAN estimate from fuel photo series: 40 t/a timber; 7 t/a shrub. 1-100 hrs \& live woody from Scott \& Burgan via B Bahro's spreadsheet. FM 183 = TL 3 = 5.5 t/a; FM $187=$ TL 7 = 9.8 t/a; FM 25\&26 = SH6 = 5.75 t/a, mo

## Effects of Smoke's Pollutants

Particulates are the pollutant in smoke primarily responsible for poor visibility.
Particulates also harm lungs. People with existing respiratory or circulatory impairments are most likely to be affected strongly. Also at risk due to their above-average volume of air breathed for their body weights are pregnant mothers, and children. Finally, elderly people's lungs are not as efficient as younger people's, so they too suffer disproportionately.

Health effects can be quantified based on smoke concentrations, not directly from total weight of pollution released. Unfortunately, modeling that converts production to dispersion are guidelines at best. Blue Sky/Rains' website gives a general projection of direction and smoke density.


An example screen capture is included. Red dots mark locations where smoke concentration is expected to be at or above EPA's current health warning threshold. EPA is currently reviewing public comment on its proposal to revise the (24-hour average PM 2.5) particulate health standard from $65 \quad / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ to $35 \quad / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Light green through red dots mark locations where smoke is projected to be heavier than $35 \quad / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. $65 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ is the minimum sustained concentration at which all people are advised to adapt their activities in response to the health threat: "People with heart or lung
disease, older adults, and children should avoid prolonged or heavy exertion; everyone else should reduce prolonged or heavy exertion."

There are two additional ways to monitor smoke concentrations. A network of particulate monitors are deployed in the Siskiyou County area in response to the many fires nearby. Some of the readings in late August were in the 'hazardous' (> $250 \quad / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) category. They are among the highest generally observed anywhere in the nation during a busy wildfire season. The current readings are posted at http://gacc.nifc.gov/oncc/predictive/weather/airquality.html. Archived data may be available as well.

Smoke concentrations vary significantly at a small scale of space and time. By far the simplest, and also probably the most accurate 'measurement' is to look at the hills. A table that roughly correlates the farthest distance at which any shape can be perceived with particulate concentrations is posted at http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/ap/smoke/DocumentsLinked/PMConcentrationEstimation. pdf. Generally, if the horizon or other features in the direction facing away from the sun are completely obscured within three miles, the current smoke concentration is at least as high as the health threshold average.

Uncles and Hancock were among the less smoky large wildfires in the County in late August 2006. But for people who breathe, identifying the responsible fire(s) is less important than the assistance and guidance. And as the estimates of pollution produced show, Uncles and Hancock definitely were substantial contributors and may continue for weeks. Responsive options are discussed below.


Average contributions of major aerosol chemical components to light extinction in 20\% best, middle 60\% and 20\% worst days (Based on data available in 1997-2002) Source of this and following two graphs:
http://www.coha.dri.edu/web/state analysis/Cali fornia/TRIN1/TRIN1.htm

Visual air quality is evaluated at sampling sites from which the chemicals that scatter or absorb light can be differentiated. The sampling site closest to the fires is in Trinity. Of the categories of pollution that are sorted out from sampling filters, wildland fire contributes to both elemental carbon (light-absorbing, LAC) and organic matter (OMC).
For fire and most other sources of pollution, it is not at all straightforward to deduce sources from component chemicals. However, the adjacent graph shows that in Trinity, organic matter is the biggest contributor to days with poor visibility.

The next two graphs show that the number of days with notably compromised visibility in Trinity peaks in mid-summer. Among the causal pollutants, organic matter is the largest contributor.


Percentage of sampling days that are 20\% worst days in each month

Average contributions of major aerosol chemical components to light extinction during $20 \%$ worst days in each month

Carbon dioxide is a greenhouse gas because $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ absorbs outgoing radiation. Its importance in climate change is reflected in its being the metric by which all greenhouse gasses are compared.
As of August 25, the fire had to date produced about a hundred thousand tons of carbon dioxide. Burning almost ten (9.6) million gallons of gasoline would create an equal amount of carbon dioxide. ${ }^{1}$ Another equivalent is 25 miles of coal train.

2002 is the latest year for which a carbon dioxide emissions inventory is available for the State of California. ${ }^{2}$ In 2002, statewide $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ net emissions for all activities combined were about 375 million (standard) tons. Hancock and Uncles Fires' potential to produce up to about half a million tons of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is the same quantity as approximately $2 \%$ of California's statewide annual residential use ${ }^{3}$ of fossil fuel. This fire could produce an amount of carbon dioxide comparable to a notable change in residential energy use.

## Recommendations for Smoke Management

In general, it may be helpful to maintain a clear division of responsibilities with the County Health Department. The Forest and fire managers know more than other people do about smoke generation, which they can provide to others. Public health professionals know more than other people do about how communities can prevent and respond to shared health challenges. Health departments can provide that information.

It would be optimal to work in a partnership that incorporated the differences. It isn't always feasible, however. Many community-level public health officials have either

[^0]never dealt with very heavy smoke, or have not responded aggressively. Because fire managers have been around the issues many times, they have information useful to health departments. And at times people surrounded by smoke need information fire managers have, and it should be shared even if the fire organization is not the optimal source.

- Continue to advise people in the area of ways they can minimize smoke impacts to their own and their families' health. If possible, offer the information via public health departments.
- Encourage and support the County in making information about smoke and health available to residents and healthcare providers. The information could include:
o Location of public facilities with filtered air conditioning that residents can use as a respite haven of clean air. Buildings might include movie theaters, department stores, libraries, large meeting rooms in government buildings, or indoor sports facilities.
o Remain in communication with school administrators about the advisability of providing recess and school athletics when smoke is heaviest.
o Incident Meteorologist input to a County-issued daily smoke health impact forecast.
- Continue providing information about the fire's likely growth and smoke production for Forest managers to use in their on-going communications with state and local smoke regulators.
- For firefighters, unless the smoke abates significantly, continue to rotate people out of spike locations frequently, simply because of smoke exposure.



## LONG RANGE IMPLEMENTATI ON PLAN UNCLES COMPLEX KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST

## APPENDIX E

> COST PROJECTIONS

| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Range Plan |

Trigger Point 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | \# | Rate | Units | Total |  |
| OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCMG | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COML | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COMT | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COST | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| CTSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,036 |
| EDSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| FBAN | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| FEMO | 3 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 1,344 |
| FUM2 | 2 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,036 |
| GISS | 3 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,554 |
| HECM | 6 | 25 | 14 | 350 | 2,100 |
| HELM | 2 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,036 |
| ICT2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| IMET | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| LSC2 | 2 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 896 |
| LTAN | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| OSC2 | 3 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,554 |
| PIO2 | 2 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 896 |
| PSC2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| RADO | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| READ | 2 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 896 |
| RESL | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| SOF2 | 2 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 896 |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA | 1 | 300 | 1 | 300 | 300 |
| HEL2 | 1 | 1,400 | 14 | 19,600 | 19,600 |
| HEL3 | 1 | 1,000 | 14 | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| IRF | 1 | 150 | 1 | 150 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREWS |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC1 | 1 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 5,992 |
| HC2 | 0 | 6,560 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |
| LODG | 30 | 60 | 1 | 60 | 1,200 |
| VAN | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| RENT CARS | 10 | 53 | 1 | 53 | 530 |
| CACHE | 1 |  | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| TRLR | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| PER DIEM | 30 | 40 | 1 | 40 | 1,200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T.P. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEL1 | 2 | 3,000 | 14 | 42,000 | 84,000 |
| HEL2 | 2 | 1,400 | 14 | 19,600 | 39,200 |
| HC1 | 2 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 11,984 |
| FFT1 | 8 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 47,936 |
| AT | 2 | 5,000 | 14 | 70,000 | 140,000 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 1,036 |
| DAILY TOTALS |  |  |  |  | 386,496 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 DAYS |  |  |  |  | \$1,159,488 |


| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Range Plan |

Trigger Point 2 Senario 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | Rate | Units | Total |  |  |
| OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCMG | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COML | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COMT | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COST | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| CTSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| EDSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| FBAN | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| FEMO | 3 | 32 | 42 | 1344 | 1344 |
| FUM2 | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| GISS | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| HECM | 6 | 25 | 84 | 2100 | 2100 |
| HELM | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| ICT2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| IMET | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| LSC2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| LTAN | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| OSC2 | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| PIO2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| PSC2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| RADO | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| READ | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| RESL | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| SOF2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| AVIATION | 2 | 3,000 | 14 | 70,000 | 140,000 |
| AA |  |  |  |  | 1036 |

## Trigger Point 2 Senario 1

| BCMG | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COML | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COMT | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COST | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| CTSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| EDSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| FBAN | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| FEMO | 3 | 32 | 42 | 1344 | 1344 |
| FUM2 | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| GISS | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| HECM | 6 | 25 | 84 | 2100 | 2100 |
| HELM | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| ICT2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| IMET | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| LSC2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| LTAN | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| OSC2 | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| PIO2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| PSC2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| RADO | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| READ | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| RESL | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| SOF2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA | 1 | 300 | 1 | 300 | 300 |
| HEL2 | 1 | 1400 | 14 | 19600 | 19600 |
| HEL3 | 1 | 1000 | 14 | 14000 | 14000 |
| IRF | 1 | 150 | 1 | 150 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREWS |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC1 | 1 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 5,992 |
| HC2 | 1 | 6560 | 14 | 91840 | 91840 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |
| LODG | 30 | 60 | 30 | 1800 | 1800 |
| VAN | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| REN CARS | 4 | 53 | 4 | 212 | 212 |
| CACHE |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| TRLR | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| PER DIEM | 30 | 40 | 30 | 1200 | 1200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P. 2 S |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITION | RESOU |  |  |  |  |
| FEMO | 4 | 32 | 42 | 1344 | 1344 |
| HEL1 | 1 | 3,000 | 14 | 42,000 | 42,000 |
| HEL3 | 1 | 1000 | 14 | 14000 | 14000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY TOTALS |  |  |  |  | 211288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 DAYS |  |  |  |  | \$1,056,440 |


| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Term Plan |

Trigger Point 3 Scenario 1


| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Range Plan |

## Trigger Point 3 Scenario 2



| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Range Plan |

Trigger Point 4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | \# | Rate | Units | Total |  |
| OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCMG | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COML | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COMT | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COST | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| CTSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| EDSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| FBAN | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| FEMO | 3 | 32 | 42 | 1344 | 1344 |
| FUM2 | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| GISS | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| HECM | 6 | 25 | 84 | 2100 | 2100 |
| HELM | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| ICT2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| IMET | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| LSC2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| LTAN | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| OSC2 | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| PIO2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| PSC2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| RADO | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| READ | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| RESL | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| SOF2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA | 1 | 300 | 1 | 300 | 300 |
| HEL2 | 1 | 1400 | 14 | 19600 | 19600 |
| HEL3 | 1 | 1000 | 14 | 14000 | 14000 |
| IRF | 1 | 150 | 1 | 150 | 150 |
| CREWS |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC1 | 1 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 5,992 |
| HC2 | 1 | 6560 | 2 | 13120 | 13120 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |
| LODG | 30 | 60 | 30 | 1800 | 1800 |
| VAN | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| REN CARS | 4 | 53 | 4 | 212 | 212 |
| CACHE |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| TRLR | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| PER DIEM | 30 | 40 | 30 | 1200 | 1200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T.P. 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEL1 | 2 | 3,000 | 14 | 42,000 | 84,000 |
| HEL2 | 2 | 1400 | 14 | 19600 | 39200 |
| HC1 | 4 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 23,968 |
| DIVS | 1 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| SOF2 | 1 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY TOTALS |  |  |  |  | 224324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 DAYS |  |  |  |  | \$1,345,944 |


| Uncles Complex |
| :---: |
| Long Range Plan |

## Trigger Point 5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | \# | Rate | Units | Total |  |
| OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCMG | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COML | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COMT | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| COST | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| CTSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| DIVS | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| EDSP | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| FBAN | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| FEMO | 3 | 32 | 42 | 1344 | 1344 |
| FUM2 | 1 | 37 | 14 | 518 | 518 |
| GISS | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| HECM | 6 | 25 | 84 | 2100 | 2100 |
| HELM | 2 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| ICT2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| IMET | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| LSC2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| LTAN | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| OSC2 | 3 | 37 | 42 | 1554 | 1554 |
| PIO2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| PSC2 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| RADO | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| READ | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
| RESL | 1 | 32 | 14 | 448 | 448 |
| SOF2 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| AA | 1 | 300 | 1 | 300 | 300 |
| HEL2 | 1 | 1400 | 14 | 19600 | 19600 |
| HEL3 | 1 | 1000 | 14 | 14000 | 14000 |
| IRF | 1 | 150 | 1 | 150 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREWS |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC1 | 1 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 5,992 |
| HC2 | 1 | 6560 | 2 | 13120 | 13120 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |
| LODG | 30 | 60 | 30 | 1800 | 1800 |
| VAN | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| REN CARS | 4 | 53 | 4 | 212 | 212 |
| CACHE |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| TRLR | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| PER DIEM | 30 | 40 | 30 | 1200 | 1200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T.P. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONAL RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEL1 | 2 | 3,000 | 14 | 42,000 | 84,000 |
| HEL2 | 2 | 1400 | 14 | 19600 | 39200 |
| HC1 | 4 | 428 | 14 | 5,992 | 23,968 |
| DIVS | 1 | 37 | 28 | 1036 | 1036 |
| SOF2 | 1 | 32 | 28 | 896 | 896 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAILY TOTALS |  |  |  |  | 224324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 DAYS |  |  |  |  | \$897,296 |



## LONG RANGE IMPLEMENTATI ON PLAN UNCLES COMPLEX

KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST

## APPENDIX F

## ROCKY MOUNTAIN

 \#1 FIRE USE MANAGEMENT TEAM
## Contact Information

| Incident Commander | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F. William (Bill) Hahnenberg BLM (UCRIFMU) <br> 2815 H Road Grand Junction, CO 81506 | Office <br> Fax (Office) <br> Email | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 970-244-3103 \\ & 970-244-3124 \\ & \text { Bill Hahnenberg@blm.gov } \end{aligned}$ |
| Safety Officer | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Mark Rogers BLM (NWCIFMU) <br> 73544 Hwy. 64 Meeker, CO 81641 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Fax (Office) } \\ & \text { Email } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 970-878-3847 } \\ & 970-878-3805 \\ & \text { Mark Rogers@blm.gov } \end{aligned}$ |
| Information Officer | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Sarah Gallup <br> Colo. Dept. Health \& Environ. mail to: 2536 Romeldale <br> Fort Collins 80526 | Office <br> Fax (Office) <br> Email | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 303-916-1260 (cell only) } \\ & \text { 907-491-8438 - pls phone } 1^{\text {st }} \\ & \text { sgallup@lamar.colostate.edu } \end{aligned}$ |
| Operations Section Chief Jobshare | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Andy Parker <br> BLM State Office - Colorado <br> 2850 youngfield St. <br> Lakewood, CO 80215 | Office <br> Fax (Office) <br> Email | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 303.239 .3693 \\ & \text { 303.239.3811 } \\ & \text { Abdrew Parker@co.blm.gov } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Operations Section Chief Jobshare Fire Use Manager Trainee | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Mark Hatcher 216 West Colo Gunnison, CO 81230 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Fax (Office) } \\ & \text { Email } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 970-641-0471 } \\ & 970-642-4425 \\ & \text { mchatcher@fs.fed.us } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Planning Section Chief | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Peter Anderson <br> P.O. Box 4137 <br> Evergreen, CO 80437-4137 | Office <br> Pager <br> Fax <br> Email | $\begin{aligned} & 303-697-9103 \\ & 303-281-6444 \\ & 303-674-2643 \\ & \text { pd anderson@msn.com } \end{aligned}$ |
| Long Term Fire Analysis | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Krista Gollnick-Waid 1387 South Vinnell Way Bosie, ID 83709 | Office Fax (Office) Email | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 208-373-3856 } \\ & \text { 208-373-3850 } \\ & \text { krista waid@blm.gov } \end{aligned}$ |
| Fire Bahavior Analysis | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Brenda Wilmore $125 \mathrm{~W}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. <br> Eagle, CO 81631 | Office Email | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 970-328-6388 } \\ & \text { bwilmore@fs.fed.us } \end{aligned}$ |
| GIS Techinal Specialist Jobshare | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Skip Edel <br> Box 25046, MS 516 <br> Denver Federal Center, Bld 810 <br> Denver, CO 80225-0046 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Fax (Office) } \\ & \text { Email } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 303.202 .4314 \\ & 303.202 .4354 \\ & \text { shedel@usgs.gov } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Logistic Section Chief | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Loren Wickstrom <br> San Juan Public Lands Center <br> 15 Burnett Court <br> Durango, CO 81301 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Fax (Office) } \\ & \text { Email } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 970-385-1373 } \\ & \text { 970-375-2338 } \\ & \text { Loren wickstrom@blm.gov } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Cost Unit Leader/Finance | Contact Name | Contact Number |
| Liz Turner WRNF Blanco Ranger District 317 E. Market St Meeker, CO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Fax (Office) } \\ & \text { Email } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 970-878-4039 } \\ & \text { 970-878-5173 } \\ & \text { laturner@fs.fed.us } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |



## APPENDIX G


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Combusting one gallon of gasoline yields 22\# of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-600-2005-025/index.html
    ${ }^{3} 27$ standard tons, State of California inventory cited above.

