

**EXHIBIT D.6 NATIONAL TYPE 2 & 2-IA CREW PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM**

Crew Name and Number		Fire Name and Number		Crew Boss ( <i>name</i> )	
Crew Home Unit and Address			Location of Fire ( <i>complete address</i> )		
Crew Representative		Dates on Fire		Number of Shifts Worked	
<p><b>Ratings:</b> Summarize Contractor performance and circle in the column on the right the adjective rating that corresponds to the performance rating for each rating category. The rating official shall provide comments supporting each adjectival rating assigned. *Indicate the number of shifts the crew performed Hot Line Construction. <b>Note:</b> To justify an Exceptional rating, you shall identify multiple significant events in each category and state how it was a benefit to the Government. However, a singular benefit could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.</p>					
<b>Quality of Services</b>	Physical Condition	Comments regarding Quality of Service: Number of Shifts for Hot Line Construction: _____			Exceptional
	*Hot Line Construction				Very Good
	Mop-Up				Satisfactory
	Use of Safe Practices				Marginal
	Crew Organization & Equipment				Unsatisfactory
Other (Specify)					
<b>Timeliness of Performance</b>	Specify Timeliness Issues Encountered	Comments regarding Timeliness of Performance:			Exceptional
					Very Good
					Satisfactory
					Marginal
					Unsatisfactory
<b>Business Relations</b>	Off line Conduct	Comments regarding Business Relations:			Exceptional
	Working with Government				Very Good
	Working with Other Contractors				Satisfactory
	Crew Boss				Marginal
	Squad Bosses				Unsatisfactory
Crew Representative					
Additional Comments Regarding Outstanding Workers, or Individuals/Areas Needing Improvement:					
Crew Boss ( <i>signature &amp; phone number</i> ) This rating has been discussed with me.					Date
Rated By ( <i>signature</i> )		Home Unit ( <i>address &amp; phone number</i> )		Position on Fire	
				Date	

**ORIGINAL – CONTRACTING OFFICER, NIFC; COPY – CONTRACTOR**

**EVALUATION RATING DEFINITIONS (Ref Table 42-1 FAR 42.1503)**

<b>Rating</b>	<b>Definition</b>	<b>Note</b>
(a) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were of benefit to the Government. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(b) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	To justify a Very Good rating, identify a significant event and state how it was a benefit to the Government. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(c) Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract/order. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be evaluated with a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.
(d) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	To justify Marginal performance, identify a significant event in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Government. A Marginal rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency report or letter).
(e) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Government. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency reports, or letters).

**Note 1:** Plus, or minus signs may be used to indicate an improving (+) or worsening (-) trend insufficient to change the evaluation status.

**Note 2:** N/A (not applicable) should be used if the ratings are not going to be applied to a particular area for evaluation.