## Incident Status Summary (ICS-209)



Relate critical resources needs identified above to the Incident Action Plan.
Moderate to heavy fuel loads in the swamp and uplands; limited accessibility for ground equipment; mulitiple jurisdictions involved; wilderness area; and reburn potential over the entire fire area. Needle cast and re-sprouted palmetto reburn are concerns. Timber logging activity within the burned area. Hunting season is open increasing public activity in the area. Extended drought makes breakouts possible in the western portion of the fire.

30: Observed Weather for
Current Operational Period
Peak Gusts (mph): 16 Max.
Temperature: 70
Wind Direction:
North Min. Relative
Humidity: 60

31: Fuels/Materials Involved: 7 Southern Rough
Heavy swamp fuel loads, heavy to moderate palmetto/gallberry, 3+ year rough

32: Today's observed fire behavior (leave blank for non-fire events):
Creeping and smoldering continues in the interior.
33: Significant events today (closures, evacuations, significant progress made, etc.):
Crews continue to patrol, monitor and mop up around the Tatum Road area on the west side of the swamp.

34: Forecasted Weather for next Operational Period
Wind Speed (mph): 8-12 Temperature: $\mathbf{7 1}$
Wind Direction: North-East Relative Humidity: 26

35: Estimated
Control Date and Time:

36: Projected Final Size:

37: Estimated Final Cost:

38: Actions planned for next operational period:
Crews will continue to patrol, monitor, and mop up the fire.
39: For fire incidents, describe resistance to control in terms of:

1. Growth Potential - Medium
2. Difficulty of Terrain - High

40: Given the current constraints, when will the chosen management strategy succeed?
41: Projected demobilization start date:
42: Remarks:
Agency Administrator's objectives remain to contain all fires within the Okefenokee NWR,using indirect tactics at the swamp's edge because of inaccessible terrain, and to maintain wilderness values and reduce negative impacts to the ecosystem. As drying out continues, increase in fire behavior is likely.

| Incident <br> Name | Suppression <br> Strategy | Size | $<$ PERCENT <br> MMA | Cost To <br> Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Honey <br> Prairie | Point or Zone Protection/Limited <br> Perimeter Control | 309,199 | $76 \%$ | $\$ 52,811,341$ |
| Moniac | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Road 22 | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Murry Road | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |


| Boone | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Whites Flat | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Secret | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Moccasin | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| 260 Fire | Full Suppression/Perimeter Control |  | $100 \%$ |  |

43: Committed Resources (Supplemental Committed Resources follow the first block)

| Agency | CRW1 |  | CRW2 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { HEL1 } \\ \hline \text { SR } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { HEL2 } \\ \hline \text { SR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { HEL3 } \\ \hline \text { SR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ENGS |  | DOZR |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { WTDR } \\ \hline \text { SR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { OVHD } \\ \hline \text { SR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Camp Crews | Total Personnel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SR | ST | SR | ST |  |  |  | SR | ST | SR | ST |  |  |  |  |
| USFS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 13 |
| FWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 21 |
| BLM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 53 |

Total personnel by agency are listed in the first section of committed resources.

| Agency | C215 | C415 | BMDR | TPL1 | TPL2 | TPL3 | TPL4 | VANS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR |  |
| USFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FWS |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| BLM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |

44: Cooperating and Assisting Agencies Not Listed Above:
GEMA, GDOT, FLDOT, County EMA's, GOAL, FFS, GFC, Rayonier, Superior Pine and Langdale. Rayonier, Superior Pine and Langdale resources are not reflected in the Honey Prairie Complex resource numbers, since they will respond to protect their own lands as needed.
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